UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/517,289  | 12/07/2004             | Axel Doering         | GK-ZEI-3255 /<br>500343.2027 | 4475             |
| 26418<br>REED SMITH,  | 7590 03/20/2008<br>LLP | EXAMINER             |                              |                  |
| ATTN: PATEN   | IT RECORDS DEPAR       | DWIVEDI, MAHESH H    |                              |                  |
| 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR<br>NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650 |                        |                      | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,   | •                      |                      | 2168                         |                  |
|   |                        |                      |                              |                  |
|   |                        |                      | MAIL DATE                    | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                        |                      | 03/20/2008                   | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/517,289        | DOERING, AXEL |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit      |  |
| MAHESH H. DWIVEDI | 2168          |  |

| Auvisory Action   | 10/517,289  | DOEKING, AXEL                                      |                      |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |                      |  |  |  |
|   | MAHESH H. DWIVEDI   | 2168   |                      |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add                                  | ress                 |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A  |   | in the final rejection, wh                         | ichever is later. In |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti<br>E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on.<br>ILED WITHIN   |  |  |  |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>   |   | ducina or simplifyina                              | the issues for       |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or  |   |  | 110 133003 101       |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  |   | ected claims.                                      |                      |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |   | mnliant Amendment                                  | (PTOL_324)           |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li></ul>   |   | mphant Amendment                                   | (1 TOL-324).         |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).   |   | timely filed amendme                               | ent canceling the    |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   | •   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   | it before an an the date of filing a N  | letice of Annual will no                           | at he entered        |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | d sufficient reasons why the affidat  | vit or other evidence i                            | s necessary and      |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| - — MARS L  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| MOHAMMADALI Mahesh Dwivedi  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINERPatent Examiner, AU 2168 3/18/08   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's request for reconsideration filed on 12/19/2007 is acknowledged, but is not persausive. Specifically, the amendments to claims 7 and 11 ("contextual information") would require a news search and/or consideration.